

#### 1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission 2 DOCKETED **COMMISSIONERS** 3 OCT 1 7 2003 MARC SPITZER, Chairman 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCKETED BY JEFF HATCH-MILLER 5 MIKE GLEASON NP 6 In the matter of DOCKET NO. S-03506A-02-0000 7 DECISION NO. 66436 8 JOHN R. WALLRICH and JENNIFER WALLRICH, husband and wife ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, 9 10742 SW Heron Place ORDER OF RESTITUTION, ORDER Beaverton, OR 97007 FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES 10 AND CONSENT TO SAME BY: RESPONDENTS JOHN R. WALLRICH 11 Respondents. and JENNIFER WALLRICH 12 13 RESPONDENT JOHN R. WALLRICH ("WALLRICH") and RESPONDENT JENNIFER

WALLRICH (collectively "RESPONDENTS") elect to permanently waive their right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801, et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same by: Respondents John R. Wallrich and Jennifer Wallrich ("Order"). RESPONDENTS admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), including the Commission's jurisdiction over the marital community of WALLRICH and JENNIFER WALLRICH; do not contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in the Order for the purposes of this proceeding or any other administrative proceeding before an agency of the state of Arizona; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

2003-09-09 ACC

# 

I.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times material hereto, Alpha Telcom, Inc. ("Alpha") was an Oregon corporation located at 2751 Highland Avenue, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526.
- 2. At all times material hereto, American Telecommunications Company, Inc. ("ATC") was a Nevada corporation formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of Alpha on or about September 17, 1998. Originally named ATC, Inc., the name was changed to American Telecommunications Company, Inc., sometime in the first half of 2000. Its address was the same as Alpha's, but was later changed to 620 S.W. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526, then to 2900 Vine Street, Suite J, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526, and then to 942 S.W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite G, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Paul S. Rubera ("Rubera") was the president and control person of Alpha, and the control person of ATC.
- 4. ATC was organized by Rubera and operated in conjunction with and as an alter ego of Alpha. The two companies were controlled by Rubera and his associates.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Alpha and ATC, and their affiliates, sold pay telephones with telephone service agreements pursuant to which the investor would share in the profits of the pay telephone. Investors would enter into two agreements, a purchase agreement, and a service agreement with Alpha to manage the phone. The two agreements were presented and promoted simultaneously. The telephones were presented to potential investors with four options in the way of service contracts, each varying in the amount of service provided. The four options varied from Level 1, which included a minimum of service, to Level 4, which provided full service to the purchaser, including choosing a site and installing the telephone, collecting all revenue from the telephone's operation, repairing the telephone when necessary, and even repurchasing or buying back the telephone at the investor's option. Under Level 4, Alpha would split the net proceeds with the investor on a 70/30 basis, with Alpha retaining 70% and the investor receiving

30%. The price of the pay telephones was the same regardless of the service option chosen, \$5,000.00 per telephone. Although investors were given a choice of using a company other than Alpha to manage the phone, no Arizona investor to whom WALLRICH sold the investment picked a company other than Alpha to manage their phones. A "typical return" on each pay telephone was touted as 14% per year. In practice, all purchasers received \$58.34 per month per pay telephone purchased, which amounted to exactly 14% per annum.

- 6. ATC's primary role was marketing the contracts. Alpha's main focus was on obtaining phone sites and installing, servicing, and managing the phones.
- 7. ATC was presented to the public as the sales organization for Alpha. In early 1999, ATC engaged Strategic Partnership Alliance, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability company, and/or SPA Marketing, L.L.C., a Nevada limited liability corporation, (collectively "SPA") as its independent marketing and sales firm(s). SPA thereafter was responsible for hiring, training, and supervising sales agents who were selling the telephone contracts. After SPA came on board, ATC remained as the processing center for the contracts, while Alpha continued to perform the service and maintenance of the phones.
- 8. WALLRICH, directly or indirectly, entered into agreements with Alpha, ATC, and/or SPA, pursuant to which WALLRICH sold investment contracts involving Alpha pay telephones (the "Alpha investment contracts") within or from the state of Arizona. All Alpha investment contracts WALLRICH sold were Level 4 contracts.
- 9. WALLRICH told prospective investors their investments were insured. The insurer named varied. Mentioned most often was the Northern and Western Insurance Company of Grand Turk, Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies ("N&W"). Also mentioned were Lloyd's of London and four other insurance companies listed as re-insurers. N&W was a captive insurance company wholly owned by Paul S. Rubera, the President and control person of Alpha, and Robert S. Harrison of Richmond, Texas. N&W is not authorized to write insurance in Arizona.
  - 10. WALLRICH presented Alpha to prospective customers as a stable, profitable, and

innovative company that had been in business since 1985. Alpha was said to be selling and providing a "turn-key" operation.

- 11. All sales agents, including WALLRICH, were paid commissions on each telephone sold.
- 12. WALLRICH participated in recruiting other licensed insurances salespersons to sell Alpha investment contracts. During this activity, WALLRICH represented that the Alpha investment contracts were not securities, and that salespersons did not need to be registered to sell securities before selling the Alpha investment contracts. WALLRICH received commissions, overrides, and/or other compensation as a result of referral of such salespersons to Alpha and/or ATC and/or SPA.
- 13. WALLRICH sold Alpha investment contracts involving at least 138 telephones to at least 28 individuals or entities within or from the state of Arizona from May, 2000 through May, 2001, for a total sales amount of at least \$685,250.00.
- 14. WALLRICH received commissions and other compensation from Alpha and/or ATC and/or SPA in the total amount of \$325,950.00. WALLRICH paid out shares of these commissions to others in the total amount of \$197,230. An additional \$4,800 of WALLRICH's commissions represented commissions on contracts purchased by WALLRICH himself. WALLRICH thus received net commissions of \$123,920.00 on sales of Alpha investment contracts.
- 15. Alpha has a long regulatory history in which state securities regulators have found that these purchases of pay telephones and accompanying service contracts were unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts that were sold by unregistered persons and/or entities, and ordered Alpha and those working with it to cease and desist. WALLRICH did not reveal these orders to most Arizona investors to whom he sold the Alpha investment contracts. The orders that WALLRICH could have revealed include:
  - a. February 2, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by Pennsylvania Securities Commission, *In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al.*, No. 9812-06.

Decision No. \_

b.	
	November 17, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by North Carolina Secretary of State, <i>In the Matter of the North Carolina Securities Division v. ATC, Inc., Paul Rubera, et al.</i> , No. 99-038-CC.
<b>c.</b>	June 30, 1999, Temporary Order of Prohibition issued by Illinois Secretary of State, <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc.</i> , No. 9900201.
d.	January 14, 2000, Consent Order of Prohibition issued by Illinois Secretary of State, <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc.</i> , No. 9900201, in which Alpha
	agreed to offer rescission to all Illinois purchasers.
e.	November 24, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc. and Paul S. Rubera, et al.</i> , No. S-99225(EX).
	una 1 um 2. 1.000, a, et un, 1.01 2 33 220 (212).
f.	March 7, 2000, Temporary Cease and Desist Ordered issued by Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom,
	Inc. and ATC, Inc.
g.	July 18, 2000, Florida Department of Banking and Finance filed administrative action against Alpha and others, seeking a Cease and Desist
	Order.
ı.	October 24, 2000 Desigt and Refusin Order issued by California Department
<b>n.</b>	October 24, 2000, Desist and Refrain Order issued by California Department of Corporations.
16. Ac	ctions against Alpha after WALLRICH ceased his sales of the Alpha investment
contracts include	
contracts include.	
a	July 26, 2001, Cease and Desist Order issued by Ohio Commissioner of
	Securities;
b.	August 27, 2001, Temporary Restraining Order issued by United States
	District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA
1	
	Santamber 5 2001 Cases and Decist Order issued by Arkansas Sagurities
c.	September 5, 2001, Cease and Desist Order issued by Arkansas Securities  Department In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.
c.	September 5, 2001, Cease and Desist Order issued by Arkansas Securities Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.
c.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283
	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District
d.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.
d.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.  February 7, 2002, Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued by United
d.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.  February 7, 2002, Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No.
d.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.  February 7, 2002, Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued by United
d.	Department, In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. 01-36-S.  September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.  February 7, 2002, Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.
	f.  f.  h.  16. Accontracts include a.

2003-09-09 ACC

15 16

14

17

18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25 26

The SEC's Complaint in the United States District Court, District of Oregon, alleged that Alpha and its affiliates engaged in a Ponzi-like scheme that never generated enough income to pay expenses, and that the money paid to existing investors always came from sales to new investors. Several days before the Temporary Restraining Order was issued on August 27, 2001, Alpha sought bankruptcy protection in Florida pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. A courtappointed receiver subsequently took over the remaining operations of Alpha. Alpha consented on October 19, 2001 to entry of the Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction against it, but did not admit the allegations of the Complaint.

- On February 7, 2002, the United States District Court for the District of Oregon issued its final opinion in connection with the trial of Paul Rubera. That opinion is reported at SEC v. Alpha Telcom, 187 F. Supp. 2d 1250 (D. Or. 2002). In its opinion, the court confirmed that the Alpha investment contracts are securities and thus subject to regulation as securities. The court also confirmed that Alpha operated what was essentially a Ponzi scheme in connection with the sale of the Alpha investment contracts.
  - 18. Monthly payments to investors ceased prior to August, 2001.

### II.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- The Commission has jurisdiction to enter an order that may be collected from 2. property attributable to the marital community of RESPONDENTS, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2031(C).
- WALLRICH offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- WALLRICH violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.

- 5. WALLRICH violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration.
- 6. WALLRICH violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by offering or selling securities within or from Arizona by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 7. WALLRICH'S conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 8. WALLRICH'S conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 9. WALLRICH'S conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036.

#### III.

### **ORDER**

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and the RESPONDENTS' consent to the entry of this Order, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS, their agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that RESPONDENTS, including the marital community of WALLRICH and JENNIFER WALLRICH, shall pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$123,920, plus interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Arizona Attorney General. The Arizona Attorney General shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors. The

amounts payable to each investor shall be reduced by the amounts of any recoveries of principal, interest, or other distributions received by the investors from any source, including, but not limited to, any amounts recovered by the investors pursuant to legal actions or claims made by or on behalf of the investors against the insurers or receivers of Alpha, ATC, or their related companies or affiliates, or pursuant to proceedings brought by or on behalf of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or the receivers or bankruptcy estate of Alpha, ATC, or their related companies or affiliates. If all investors are paid in full, any excess funds paid by Respondents pursuant to this Order which have not been distributed to investors shall be refunded to Respondents. If restitution is not made in accordance with this Order, any outstanding balance shall be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that RESPONDENTS, including the marital community of WALLRICH and JENNIFER WALLRICH, shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$10,000, payable to the "State of Arizona." Payment shall be made in full by cashier's check or money order on the date of this Order. If RESPONDENTS

15 || . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 | . . .

17 | . . .

18 || . . .

19 || . . .

20 || . . .

21 || . . .

22 || . . .

23 || . . .

24 ||...

25 || . . .

23 || . . .

26 || . .

Decision No. 66436

2003-09-09 ACC

do not comply with this order for administrative penalties, any outstanding balance shall be 1 deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable. 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 3 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER 7 8 9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation 10 Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the 11 Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 17th day of 12 October, 2003. 13 14 15 Executive Secretary 16 17 DISSENT 18 19 This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Yvonne McFarlin, Executive 20 Assistant to the Executive Secretary, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail ymcfarlin@cc.state.az.us. 21 22 **KCD** 23 24 25 26

# 

# CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. RESPONDENTS JOHN R. WALLRICH ("WALLRICH"), an individual, and JENNIFER WALLRICH, his wife, admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENTS acknowledges that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and RESPONDENTS knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same by: Respondents John R. Wallrich and Jennifer Wallrich ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. RESPONDENTS knowingly and voluntarily waive any right they may have under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. RESPONDENTS acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that they have been represented by counsel in this matter, they have reviewed this Order with their attorney and understand all terms it contains.
- 5. RESPONDENTS do not contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in the Order for the purposes of this proceeding or any other administrative proceeding before an agency of the state of Arizona.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, RESPONDENTS agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. This provision does not, however, prevent RESPONDENTS from taking any action necessary to defend themselves against any claims made in connection with any legal or administrative action filed against them by any person or entity not associated or affiliated with the

Arizona Corporation Commission or any other agency of the state of Arizona who is acting in their official capacity as a representative of such agency. RESPONDENTS will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of their agents and employees, if any, understand and comply with this agreement.

- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between RESPONDENTS and the Commission, RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENTS understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. RESPONDENTS agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services, within or from Arizona.
- 12. RESPONDENTS agree that until restitution and penalties are paid in full, RESPONDENTS will notify the Director of the Securities Division within 30 days of any change in home address or any change in RESPONDENTS' ability to pay amounts due under this Order.
- 13. RESPONDENTS understand that default shall render them liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 14. RESPONDENTS acknowledge that any restitution, rescission or penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of WALLRICH as well as the marital community of

1.	RESPONDENTS. RESPONDENTS consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound
2	by its terms and conditions. If RESPONDENTS breach any provision of this Order, the
3	Commission may vacate this Order and restore this case to its active docket.
4	
5	Mul. Wallude 9-22-03
6	JOHN R. WALLRICH
7	
8	Jennifer Wallrich 9-22-03
9	JENNIFER WALLRICH
10	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 22nd day of September, 2003.
11	
12	NOTARY PUBLIC
13	My Commission Expires:
14	June 21, 2006 NOTARY PUBLIC-OREGON
15	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JUN 21, 2006
16	2003-09-17 Wallrich Final Consent Order.doc
17	
18	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	